

RESPONSE OF ROOTSTOCKS AND VARIETIES ON GROWTH AND SURVIVAL PERCENTAGE IN EPICOTYL GRAFTING OF MANGO (*MANGIFERA INDICA* L.)

B. B. PATEL*, R. V. TANK AND A. J. BHANDARI

Department of Fruit Science,

ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari - 396 450 (Gujarat), INDIA e-mail: patelaspee@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Epicotyl grafting Mango Rootstock Scion

Received on : 25.09.2015

Accepted on : 07.01.2016

*Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

ABSTRACT

A field trial was conducted at Regional Horticultural Research Station, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat during 2012 to study the "Response of rootstocks and varieties on growth and survival percentage in epicotyl grafting of mango (*Mangifera indica* L).". The results of experiment revealed that an early initiation of sprouting of mango graft was recorded with Rajapuri rootstock (8.33 days) and Sonpari as a variety (8.41days). Maximum number of graft established and sprouting percentage of graft at 1 month after grafting (MAG) was observed in Rajapuri as a rootstock (9.80) and Amrapali as a variety (10.41). Height of graft was maximum in Rajapuri as a rootstock (27.46, 29.22 and 36.42 cm) and Kesar as a variety (26.97, 28.87 and 35.79 cm) at 2, 4 and 6 MAG respectively. Maximum survival percentage of graft at 6 month after grafting was found in *Deshi* as rootstock (60.88%) and Sonpari as a variety (58.88%). Regarding interaction between rootstock and varieties, Deshi × Alphonso (71.10%), Rajapuri × Sonpari (71.10%) and Deshi × Amrapali (71.10%) were found superior for maximum survival of graft at 6 month after grafting.

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.), the king of fruit, is grown in India for over 4000 years. India is the largest producer of mango (180.02 lakh MT) occupies about 2.5 million hectares of area. In Gujarat the area under mango is 1.41 lakhs hectares with 10.03 lakh MT production (Anon., 2013).

Regarding varieties of mango, Kesar and Alphonso are the leading commercial varieties of Gujarat and having good export potential. Other varieties like Sonpari, Neelphonso and Amrapali are also gaining popularity among the farmers of this region. Dashehari and Totapuri gave significantly better performance and got popularly in farmers (Singh et al., 2014). So we are selecting these varieties under this investigation. Epicotyl grafting method appears to be the best with respect to fast and mass multiplication and less time consuming for preparation of graft but mortality percentage is higher due to various factors like management, skill of operation, selection of rootstock and scion and climatic conditions. Reddy and Melanta (1989) used Nekkare mango as a rootstock and highest grafts success (90%) was obtained with Dashehari and Totapuri scions. Patil et al. (2008) observed that Alphonso grafted on Sindhura showed significantly highest graft success (77.80%) followed by Nekkare.

Generally fresh mango stones extracted from ripe mango fruits of any varieties are used for raising rootstocks. There is possibility of using fresh mango stones of particular variety for raising rootstocks and then these rootstocks are used for grafting of that particular variety. Hence, the present experiment was carried out with the objectives to know the response of mango varieties to epicotyl grafting and to standardize rootstock for epicotyl grafting in commercial mango varieties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The trial was conducted at Regional Horticultural Research Station, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat during 2012.

The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design with factorial concept (FCRD). There was two factors (1) mango rootstocks and (2) mango varieties as scion and repeated thrice. Four mango rootstocks viz., Rajapuri (R1), Kesar (R_{2}) , Totapuri (R_{2}) and Deshi (R_{4}) and five mango varieties as a scion Alphonso (V_1) , Kesar (V_2) , Sonpari (V_3) , Neelphonso (V_4) and Amrapali (V₅) were used in this experiment. Fifteen epicotyl grafts were made in each treatment. Regarding methodology, stones of each varieties were collected from R.H.R.S., Navsari, washed with fresh water and treated with carbendazim 10g/ 10 lit of water. After drying, stones were sown in raised bed at 10×5 cm spacing in vertical position in the month of June. The healthy vigorous and strong seedlings of 12-15 days old were up rooted along with stone for making epicotyls grafts. Whereas healthy terminal shoots of more than 3 months old with plumps buds were selected as scion from different mango varieties and 12-15 cm long, straight bud woods were used of grafting.

The epicotyl grafting was done by wedge technique of grafting as described by Bhan *et al.* (1969). After making grafts it was planted in polythene bags of 18×22 cm size with sufficient drainage holes filled up with mixture of 1:1:1 laterite soil, vermicompost and cocopit as described by Parasana *et al.*, 2013. This grafts was kept under poly tunnel for one month and then put under net house. Spray of carbaryl (0.2%) 40 EC (20 ml/10lit.), propiconazol (10 ml/lit.) and drenching of carbendazim (1g/1 lit.) was made for controlling leaf eating catterpiller and fungus.

The data on days taken for first sprouting and sprouting percentage, survival percentage, height and girth of grafts, number of leaves per grafts at two months interval were recorded and subjected to statistical analysis. Number of days counted after preparation of graft to first sprouting, at one month after grafting the number of graft sprouted and its percentage was calculated. Height was measured from the base to the top of graft in centimeters with the help of scale and girth of graft was measured at the centre of joint of grafts in milimeter with the help of vanier caliper at 2, 4 and 6 MAG. The graft survival percentage was calculated at 6 MAG.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained during study was described with the help of statistical analysis and discuss critically with relevant reference and literature.

Sprouting period and sprouting percentage at one month after epicotyl grafts

Regarding effect of rootstock, early initiation of sprouting of graft (8.33 days) was noted with Rajapuri rootstock (R_1), it was par with Totapuri rootstock (R_3) (8.47 days). *Deshi* rootstock (R_4) took more days for initiation. Rajapuri (R_1) rootstock was also found better with respect to more number of graft established (9.80) and higher sprouting percentage (65.32%) at 1 month of epicotyl grafting (Table 1). It might be due to vigorous growth nature in Rajapuri rootstock. Wide variation

in initiation of sprouting and sprouting percentage were observed in different rootstocks due to its heterozygous nature. Singh and Srivastava (1979), Purbiati *et al.* (1993) in mango and Patel *et al.* (2013) in cashew and Madhge *et al.* (2013) in citrus also observed similar results with respect to early sprouting and higher success percentage in their study.

Considering the effect of mango varieties as a scion Sonpari (V₃) had taken minimum days to initiation of sprouting of graft (8.41 days) which was followed by Kesar, Neelphonso and Amrapali. The maximum number of grafts established at one month after grafting and its percentage were reported in Amrapali variety of mango as scion (table-1). Thus present studies confirm the existence of genotypic differences. This type of results were previously noted by Kulwal and Tayde (1988) and Dhakal (1979) and observed wide variation in sprouting percentage with different varieties of mango. An early initiation of sprouting in Langra was noted by Alam *et al.* (2006).

Height of grafts

Among the different rootstock, Rajapuri (R_1) rootstock had maximum height i.e. 27.46cm, 29.22cm and 36.42cm at 2, 4 and 6 month after grafting, respectively. Second best rootstock was Kesar (R_2) in present study (table-2). Various research

workers noted variation in height with different rootstocks.

Singh and Singh (1976) with Dashehari seedling, Samaddar and Chakrabarti (1988) with *Mangifera sylvatica* and Chandan *et al.*, (2006) with Bappakai rootstock produced maximum height of grafts.

Regarding varieties of mango, maximum height of grafts was recorded with Kesar (V_2) variety (26.97cm, 28.87cm and 35.79cm) followed by Sonpari (V_3) i.e. 26.75cm, 28.75cm and 35.54 cm at 2, 4 and 6 month after grafting, respectively. Least height of graft was noted with Amrapali (V_5) variety *i.e.* 23.74 cm, 25.72 cm and 33.19 cm at 2, 4 and 6 month after grafting, respectively. It may be due to its dwarfing nature of growth. Varietal difference in response to height of grafts in

Table 1: Effect of rootstocks and varieties on days taken for first sprouting, number of grafts establishment and percentage (%) 1 month after grafting

| Treatments | Days taken for first sprouting | No. of grafts establishment at 1 month | Percentage (%) at 1 month after grafting |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Rootstocks (R) | | | |
| R ₁ - Rajapuri | 8.33 | 9.8 | 65.32 |
| R ₂ - Kesar | 8.73 | 8.33 | 55.55 |
| R,- Totapuri | 8.47 | 8.73 | 58.21 |
| R ₄ - Deshi | 9.07 | 8.47 | 56.44 |
| S.Ēm. ± | 0.12 | 0.108 | 2.16 |
| C.D. at 5 % | 0.35 | 0.31 | 6.19 |
| Varieties (V) | | | |
| V ₁ - Alphonso | 9 | 8.75 | 58.32 |
| V ₂ - Kesar | 8.5 | 6.33 | 42.21 |
| V ₃ ⁻ Sonpari | 8.41 | 9.83 | 65.55 |
| V ₄ - Neelphonso | 8.59 | 8.83 | 58.88 |
| V ₅ - Amrapali | 8.75 | 10.41 | 69.44 |
| S.Em. ± | 0.13 | 0.121 | 2.42 |
| C.D. at 5 % | 0.39 | 0.35 | 6.92 |
| Interaction R ? V | | | |
| S.Em. ± | 0.278 | 0.242 | 1.61 |
| C.D. at 5 % | 0.79 | 0.692 | 4.61 |
| C.V. % | 5.59 | 4.75 | 4.75 |

Table 2: Effect of rootstocks and mango varieties on height of graft in epicotyl grafting of mango (cm)

| Treatments | Height of graft (cm) | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|--|
| | 2 MAG | 4 MAG | 6 MAG | |
| Rootstocks (R) | | | | |
| R ₁ - Rajapuri | 27.46 | 29.22 | 36.42 | |
| R ₂ - Kesar | 25.84 | 27.62 | 35.09 | |
| R ₃ - Totapuri | 24.59 | 26.72 | 33.79 | |
| R₄- Deshi | 25.43 | 27.38 | 34.17 | |
| S.Em. + | 0.439 | 0.433 | 0.414 | |
| C.D. at 5 % | 1.25 | 1.24 | 1.18 | |
| Varieties (V) | | | | |
| V ₁ - Alphonso | 25.21 | 26.79 | 34.09 | |
| V ₂ - Kesar | 26.97 | 28.87 | 35.79 | |
| V ₃ - Sonpari | 26.75 | 28.75 | 35.54 | |
| V ₄ - Neelphonso | 25.49 | 27.56 | 34.73 | |
| V ₅ - Amrapali | 23.74 | 25.72 | 33.19 | |
| S.Em. + | 0.491 | 0.485 | 0.414 | |
| C.D. at 5 % | 1.25 | 1.24 | 1.18 | |

Table 3: Effect of rootstocks and mango varieties on girth of graft in epicotyl grafting of mango (mm)

| Treatments | Girth of graft (mm) | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|--|
| | 2 MAG | 4 MAG | 6 MAG | |
| Rootstocks (R) | | | | |
| R ₁ - Rajapuri | 6.45 | 6.83 | 6.99 | |
| R ₂ - Kesar | 6.29 | 6.71 | 6.82 | |
| R ₃ - Totapuri | 6.3 | 6.64 | 6.79 | |
| R₄- Deshi | 6.33 | 6.8 | 6.92 | |
| S.Em. + | 0.11 | 0.113 | 0.107 | |
| C.D. at 5 % | NS | NS | NS | |
| Varieties (V) | | | | |
| V ₁ - Alphonso | 6.15 | 6.55 | 6.67 | |
| V ₂ - Kesar | 6.44 | 6.83 | 7.01 | |
| V ₃ - Sonpari | 6.5 | 6.89 | 7.01 | |
| V ₄ - Neelphonso | 6.39 | 6.77 | 6.88 | |
| V ₅ - Amrapali | 6.25 | 6.69 | 6.83 | |
| S.Em. + | 0.123 | 0.127 | 0.119 | |
| C.D. at 5 % | NS | NS | NS | |

epicotyl grafting may be due to variations in their genetical make up influencing histological and physiological development within the scion shoots of similar age and growth in different ways. Chakrabarti and Sadhu (1983), Madalageri et al., (1984) Gurudutta et al., (2004) obtained maximum height of grafts in Langra, Dashaheri and Mulgoa, respectively. Various research workers observed varied growth pattern with different varieties of mango. It may be due to their genetical make up using different media and environmental condition. An interaction between different rootstock and varieties of mango were found to be non significant with respect to height of graft at 2, 4 and 6 MAG.

Girth of graft

Girth of graft was unaffected by different mango rootstocks in present study. However, maximum value of girth of graft was noted with Rajapuri rootstock (6.99cm) followed by *Deshi* rootstock (6.92cm) at 6 MAG (table-3). Likewise, there was nonsignificant different in girth of graft with respect to different varieties of mango. However, Sonpari (V_3) and Kesar (V_2) had higher value of girth of graft (7.01cm) at 6 MAG (table-3). Chakrabarti and Sadhu (1983) also reported non-significant

Table 4: Effect of rootstocks and mango varieties on number of leaves per graft in epicotyl grafting of mango

| Treatments | Number of leaves per graft | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| | 2 MAG | 4 MAG | 6 MAG | |
| Rootstocks (R) | | | | |
| R ₁ - Rajapuri | 16.06 | 20.26 | 25.12 | |
| R ₂ - Kesar | 15.4 | 20.08 | 25.72 | |
| R ₃ - Totapuri | 13.76 | 20.25 | 25.73 | |
| R₄- Deshi | 14.24 | 22.68 | 28.12 | |
| S.Em. + | 0.369 | 0.773 | 0.433 | |
| C.D. at 5 % | 1.05 | NS | 1.23 | |
| Varieties (V) | | | | |
| V ₁ - Alphonso | 14.97 | 20.31 | 25.49 | |
| V ₂ - Kesar | 15.7 | 21.1 | 26.07 | |
| V ₃ - Sonpari | 15.63 | 21.43 | 26.51 | |
| V ₄ - Neelphonso | 14.23 | 21.45 | 26.97 | |
| V ₅ - Amrapali | 13.8 | 19.8 | 25.83 | |
| S.Em. + | 0.412 | 0.865 | 0.484 | |
| C.D. at 5 % | 1.17 | NS | NS | |

differences with three varieties of mango. An interaction between rootstock and varieties of mango were found nonsignificant with respect to girth of graft at 2, 4 and 6 MAG.

Number of leaves per graft

The number of leaves per graft was significantly affected by different rootstocks of mango at 2 and 6 MAG, at initial stage. Rajapuri rootstock (R_1) had higher number of leaves per graft (16.06) whereas at 6 MAG, *Deshi* rootstock (R_4) was found better with producing higher number of leaves per graft (Table 4). Similar results were not available with Rajapuri and Deshi rootstock, but Chandan *et al.* (2006) note that Bappakai rootstock produce maximum number of leaves per graft.

Regarding varieties of mango, number of leaves per graft was significantly affected with different varieties at 2 MAG. More number of leaves per graft (15.70) was recorded with Kesar (V_2) variety and it was at par with Sonpari (V_3) and Alphonso (V_1) . At 4 MAG and 6 MAG, number of leaves was found non-significant in different varieties (table-4). However, Radhamony *et al.*, (1989), Jana (2007) and Patil *et al.*, (2008) also recorded more number of leaves per plant with different varieties of mango in their studies. An interaction effect between rootstock and varieties was found non-significant.

Survival percentage of grafts after 6 month of epicotyl grafting

The data presented in table 5 indicated that the survival percentage of graft after 6 month of epicotyl grafting was significantly affected due to different rootstocks and varieties of mango. The *Deshi* rootstock (R_4) was found better for maximum survival percentage of epicotyl grafting (60.88 %) which was remained at par with R_1 (Rajapuri) and R_2 (Kesar). Where as survival percentage was minimum in Totapuri rootstock (R_3). Oppenheimer (1956) show the high degree of uncertainty when mango grafts prepared out of heterozygous seedling stock. Singh and Srivastva (1979) also reported good success with different rootstocks of mango in Rataul, Mallika and Dashehari varieties of mango. Where as poor success was observed with Chausa.

Among the different varieties, Sonpari (V_3) rank first with higher survival percentage (58.88 %) followed by Amrapali (V_3) in

B. B. PATEL et al.,

| Table 5: Effect of rootstocks and mang | o varieties on survival | percentage of grafts after (| 6 months of epicotyl grafting |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|

| Treatments | | | Percentage | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Rootstocks (R) | | | | | |
| R ₁ - Rajapuri | | | 57.77 | | |
| R,- Kesar | | | 54.21 | | |
| R,- Totapuri | | | 38.21 | | |
| R ₄ - Deshi | | | 60.88 | | |
| S.Em + | | | 3.12 | | |
| C.D. at 5 % | | | 8.93 | | |
| Varieties (V) | | | | | |
| V ₁ - Alphonso | | | 48.88 | | |
| V ₂ - Kesar | | | 48.88 | | |
| V ₃ - Sonpari | | | 58.88 | | |
| V ₄ - Neelphonso | | | 48.88 | | |
| V ₅ - Amrapali | | | 58.32 | | |
| S.Em + | | | 3.49 | | |
| C.D. at 5 % | | | 9.99 | | |
| Interaction R ? V | | | | | |
| | V ₁ - Alphonso | V ₂ - Kesar | V ₃ - Sonpari | V ₄ - Neelphonso | V ₅ - Amrapali |
| R ₁ - Rajapuri | 64.44 | 57.77 | 71.1 | 46.66 | 48.88 |
| R,- Kesar | 33.33 | 51.1 | 55.55 | 68.88 | 62.22 |
| R ₃ - Totapuri | 26.66 | 37.77 | 46.66 | 28.88 | 51.1 |
| R ₄ - Deshi | 71.1 | 48.88 | 62.22 | 51.1 | 71.1 |
| S.Em ± | | | 2.33 | | |
| C.D. at 5 % | | | 6.66 | | |
| CV % | | | 7.65 | | |



T₁₆- Deshi + Alphonso



T₃- Rajapuri + Sonpari



T₂₀- Deshi + Amrapali

Figure 1: Growth of grafts at month after grafting under promising treatments

present investigation. Various workers viz., Maiti and Biswas (1980), Singh and Srivastava (1981), Chakrabarti and Sadhu (1983), Kulwal and Tayde (1988), Patil *et al.*, (1991) and Radha and Arvindakishan (1998) recorded different percentage of success with different varieties of mango.

Regarding interaction between rootstock and varieties, Deshi´ Alphonso (71.10%), Rajapuri´ Sonpari (71.10%) and Deshi Amrapali (71.10%) were found superior for maximum survival of graft at 6 month after grafting. (Table 5 and Fig.1).

REFERENCES

Alam, M. A., Islam, M. S., Uddin, M. Z., Barman, J. C. and Quamruzzaman, A. K. M. 2006. Effect of age of seedling and variety of scion in stone grafting of mango. *Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod.* **1(2)**: 27-32.

Anonymous. 2013. www.nbh.gov.in

Bhan, K. C., Samander, H. N. and Yadav, P. S. 1969. Chip budding and stone grafting of mangoes in India. *Tropical Agric. Trinidad*, 46(3): 247-253. Chakrabarti, U. and Sadhu, M. K. 1983. Effect of grafting time, variety and nature of epicotyl grafting in mango. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 53(8): 637-641.

Chandan, P. M., Kadam, J. H. and Ambad, S. N. 2006. Effect of different polyembryonic and monoembryonic rootstocks on performance of Dashehari mango. *Inter. J. Agri. Sci.* 2(2): 594-595.

Dhakal, D. D. 1979. Studies on stone grafting in mango. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis submitted to Konkan Krishi Vidhya Peeth, Dapoli.

Gurudutta, P. S., Jain, Vijay and Singh, P. N. 2004. Response of mango cultivars to epicotyl grafting. *Indian J. Hort.* **61(3):** 267.

Jana, B. R. 2007. Response of different mango cultivars to top-veneer grafting. J. Res. 19(1): 91-94.

Kulwal, L. V. and Tayde, G. S. 1988. Studies on propagation of mango by stone grafting- extent of mortality. *Acta Horticulturae*. 231: 249-251.

Madalageri, M. B., Hulamani, N. C. and Patil, V. R. 1984. Response of mango varieties and hybrid to epicotyls grafting. *Prog. Hort.* 20(3-4): 173-175.

Madhge, G. N., Joshi, P. S., Nagre, P. K. and Bhoyar, R. K. 2013. Effect of different rootstocks and time of budding in Nagpur Mandarin Cv. Nagpur seedless under central Indian condition. Paper presented in *National seminar on Tropical and Subtropical Fruits, January 9-11, 2013*, p. 32.

Maiti, S. C. and Biswas, P. 1980. Effect of scion variety and type of scion shoot on success of epicotyls. *Punjab Hort. J.* 20(3/4): 152-155.

Oppenheimer, C. H. 1956. Study tour report on sub-tropical fruit growing and research in India and Ceylon, March, 1952-sept. Special bull No. 3 State of Israel, Min. of Agriculture, *Agri. Res.* St. Rebovot.

Parasana, J. S., leua, H. N. and Ray, N. R. 2013. Effect of different growing medias mixture on germination and seedlings growth of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cultivars under net house conditions. *The Bioscan.* 8(3): 897-900.

Patel, B. B., Shah, N. I. and Solanki, P. D. 2013. Influence of different

vegetative propagation methods and rootstocks for Cashew (Anacardium occidentale L.) grafts cv. Vengurla-4 in south Gujarat. Paper presented in National seminar on Tropical and Subtropical Fruits, January 9-11, 2013. p. 52-53.

Patil, A. A., Vadigeri, B. G. and Nalawadi, U. G. 1991. Response of mango varieties to stone grafting. *Current Research*. 20(7): 135-136.

Patil, S. D., Swamy, G. S., Kumar, H. S. Y., Thammaiah, N. and Prasad, K. (2008). Effect of different mango rootstocks on success of softwood grafting. *Asian J. Hort.* **3(2)**: 389-390.

Purbiati, T., Marpaung, L. and Budiono, A. L. 1993. Study on the combination of rootstocks and scion in mango. J. Hort. 30: 15-19.

Radha, T. and Aravindakshan, K. 1998. Response of mango varieties to epicotyl grafting on commercial scale. *The Hort. J.* 11(1): 25-31.

Radhamony, P. S., Gopikumar, K. and Valsalakumari, P. K. 1989. Varietal response of scion to stone gafting in mango for commercial propagation. *South Indian Hort.* **37(5):** 298-299.

Reddy, C. V. and Melanta, K. R. 1989. Effect of different scion varieties on the success of softwood grafting of mango in relation to phenols content. *Mysore J. Agri. Sci.* 23(3): 341-343

Samaddar, H. N. and Chakrabarti, U. 1988. Effect of different rootstocks on Himsagar and Langra. *Acta Horticulturae*. 231: 220-224.

Singh, N. P. and Srivastava, R. P. 1979. Standardization of propagation techniques in Mango. Mango Workers meeting, Goa, Res. Report on Mango, pp. 92-100.

Singh, N. P. and Srivastava, R. P. 1981. Success in stone grafting of mango as influenced by the method of grafting and the age of rootstock. *Punjab Hort. J.* **21:** 166-171.

Singh, U. R. and Singh, A. P. 1976. Rootstock studies in mango (Mangifera indica L.). Prog. Hort. 8(1): 13-19.

Singh, R., Manav, M. and Sharma, A. 2014. Effect of weather parameters on flowering fruiting and quality behavior of mango cultivars. *The Ecoscan.* 5: 103-109

.....From P. 472

be distinguished in the text and in the references by letter arranged alphabetically followed by the citation of the years eg.2004a, 2004b.

Standard abbreviations and units should be used, SI units are recommended. Abbreviations should be defined at first appearance and their use in the title and abstract should be avoided. Generic names of chemical should be used. Genus and species names should be typed in italics.

PROOFS AND REPRINTS

Page proofs will be sent by e-mail to the corresponding author. The corrected proofs should be returned to the Executive Editor within 7 days of receipt. The delay in sending the proofs may shift the paper to the next issue. Correspondence through e-mail will be preferred to avoid delay.

No gratis reprints are supplied. Authors have to purchase 25 or a multiple of it (as ordered) by paying the cost decided on the basis of number of printed pages. The paper will not be printed without proper payment of reprint cost in due time.

MEMBERSHIP OF THE JOURNAL

The individual membership is open only for students and authors. Others can become members of the journal by paying the institutional rates. The membership form should be neatly filled preferably in BLOCK letters. All the authors should become subscribers.

CORRESPONDENCE

Any correspondence regarding the manuscript should be made with Executive Editor to whom the paper has been submitted.

All correspondence regarding subscription, non-receipt of the issues etc. should be made with the managing editors.

REMITTANCES

All payments must be made by DD in the name of "The Bioscan" payable at Ranchi. Outstation cheques will not be accepted.

Address for correspondence

Dr. M. P. Sinha Executive Editor D-13, Harmu Housing Colony Ranchi - 834002, Jharkhand (India) e-mail: m psinha@yahoo.com

| THE BIOSCAN : SUBSCRIPTION RATES | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | India (Rs.) | SAARC Countries | Other Countries | |
| Individuals | One Year Life Member* | 1,000 10,000 | 2,000(I:C) | US \$200 | |
| Institutions | One Year Life Member* | 3,000 30,000 | 6,000(I:C) | US \$400 | |

*Life Member will receive the journal for 15 years while other benefits will continue whole life

THE BIOSCAN : MEMBERSHIP FORM

NOTE: FOR MEMBERSHIP THE ABOVE INFORMATION CAN BE SENT ON SEPARATE SHEET